

REMARKS

Claims 1 and 10 have been amended and Claim 11 has been cancelled. Reexamination and reconsideration of the application, as amended, are requested.

The Examiner rejected Claims 1-7 and 10-13 under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by James. The Examiner rejected Claims 8-9 under 103(a) as being unpatentable over James in view of Durst, Jr. Underlying Claims 1 and 10 have been amended to clarify that the front of the seat of the present invention touches the floor which is not found in any of the prior art cited by the Examiner. The fact that the seat of the present touches the floor is taught throughout the present invention (See page 11, lines 16-17, page 18, line 30 and Claim 1, e and Claim 11 as originally filed). None of the seats of the prior art cited by the Examiner come in contact with the floor and thus would not be as advantageous to a physically challenged person as the present invention.. This is an advantage of the present invention over the prior art and was mentioned an objective (See page 7, line 14) of the present invention in the originally filed specification. Thus, Applicant believes that this rejection has been overcome.

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application is respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

George L. Williamson

George L. Williamson
Attorney for Applicant
Reg. No. 30,903
Post Office Box 508
Fairhope, Alabama 36533
Telephone: (251) 928-3058

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION

I, George L. Williamson, do hereby certify that the foregoing response was transmitted by facsimile to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date shown below.

March 7, 2005

Date

George L. Williamson

George L. Williamson